

<<人际投射小句与主体间性的语篇建构>>

图书基本信息

书名：<<人际投射小句与主体间性的语篇建构>>

13位ISBN编号：9787561544488

10位ISBN编号：7561544480

出版时间：2012-10

出版时间：厦门大学出版社

作者：辛志英

页数：368

版权说明：本站所提供下载的PDF图书仅提供预览和简介，请支持正版图书。

更多资源请访问：<http://www.tushu007.com>

## <<人际投射小句与主体间性的语篇建构>>

### 内容概要

人际投射小句是系统功能语言学的一种重要概念，也是人们表达意义的一种重要资源，因此得到了许多学者的研究和探讨，但大多涉及投射小句的内部句法关系或其主体的情态评价。作者在书中回顾了不同学者对人际投射小句的研究，并进行分析归纳，指出以前研究的不足之处，在此基础上运用系统功能语言学的理论，提出人际投射小句的概念，把人际投射小句看作加强主体间性的有效资源。

《人际投射小句与主体间性的语篇建构》强调投射小句的人际功能，区分出了四类人际投射小句。为了说明人际投射小句的语篇行为，《人际投射小句与主体间性的语篇建构》搜集英语语言学书评和语言学刊物编者按作为语料，考察了英语语言学书评中人际投射小句的运作机制，充分结合量化分析方法，详细统计和比较了人际投射小句的分布，说明各类人际投射小句的使用差异，讨论人际投射小句的主体间加强功能，指出人际投射小句的使用受制于语篇的语类属性和社会交际功能。

书籍目录

Chapter 1 Introduction 1.1 Background of the Study and Definition of IPC 1.2 Rationale for the Study 1.3 Objectives of the Study

Chapter 2 Literature Review 2.1 Traditional Descriptive Approaches 2.1.2 Quirk et al. ( 1972 ; 1985 ) and Quirk & Greenbaum ( 1973 ) 2.2.1 Hunston & Francis ( 1999 ) and Charles ( 2006a ) 2.3 Frequency-Driven Register-Oriented Approaches 2.4 Cognitive Linguistic Approaches 2.4.1 Langacker ( 1991/2004 ) 2.4.2 Diessel & Tomasello ( 2001 ) , Thompson ( 2002 ) and ( 2005 Verhagen ) 2.5 Rhetoric and Stylistic Approaches 2.5.1 Simpson ( 1993 ) 2.5.2 Werth ( 1999b ) and Gavins ( 2007 ) 2.6 Pragmatic Approaches 2.6.1 Pince et al. ( 1982 ) , Holmes ( 1984 ) , Myers ( 1989 ) and Skelton ( 2007 ) 2.6.2 Stubbs ( 1986 ) , Meyers ( 1997 ) , Namsaraev ( 1997 ) and Givon ( 1995 ) 2.6.3 Vande Kopple ( 1985 ) , Crismore et al. ( 1993 ) and Hyland ( 2005a ) 2.7 Structural-Functional Linguistic Approaches 2.7.1 Dik ( 1997 ) 2.7.2 Nuyts ( 2000 ) 2.8.1 Hudson ( 1972 ) 2.8.2 Halliday & Matthiessen ( 2004 ) 2.9.1 Hyland ( 2000 ) and Tse & Hyland ( 2006 ) 2.9.2 Belcher ( 1995 ) and Motta-Roth ( 1998 ) 2.10 Comments on Previous Studies 2.11 Summary

Chapter 3 Theoretical Framework 3.1 The Framework for IPC Analysis 3.1.1 IPC as an Intersubjectivity Booster 3.1.2 Categories of IPC and the Analysis Framework 3.2 Metafunctions and Metafunctional Complementarity 3.2.1 Metafunctions of Language 3.2.2 Metafunctional Complementarity 3.3 Projection Manifestation Across Metafunctions. . . . .

Chapter 4 Genre of ELBR

Chapter 5 I-IPCs and we-IPCs in ELBR

Chapter 6 No-interactant and Non-interactant IPCs in ELBR

Chapter 7 Conclusion

Appendix I Data sources

Appendix 2 Data samples

Appendix 3 A list of some IPCs in ELBR and ELJE

Appendix 4 Concordance of IPCs ( part ) in ELBR and ELJE

## 章节摘录

First, like other traditional grammarians, Jespersen considers only content meaning, or propositional meaning while neglecting interpersonal meaning. In addition, he tends to take the dependent clause as a constituent of the main clause, not treating the sentence as a combination of two clauses. However, his contribution lies in the fact that he notices the importance of the conjunction that and the introductory /t. This hints at the fact that Jespersen notices the link between IPC and its ensuing clause in the complex. Therefore, it is fair to say that Jespersen makes his contribution to the study of IPC, though indirectly and unconsciously. Quirk et al. interpret IPC expressions and adverbials as equal in conveying the writer's comments or observations of the subordinate clause. This indicates that they have noticed that clauses can be employed to express the writer's modal assessment of the dependent clause. Unfortunately, they do not point out the difference between the two versions (i.e. clausal expressions and adverbials). Quirk et al. also rightly notice that IPC disjuncts are syntactically more detached and "super-ordinate", having a scope that extends over the rest of the sentence. However, they do not show much interest in this aspect. Consequently they do not put at the centre the reader-orientating functions of IPC.

Another demerit lies in the fact that Quirk et al. do not distinguish between what is possible and what is typical. Their paraphrasing interpretation of adverbial disjuncts and clausal disjuncts blurs the distinctions between them. One of the distinctions is that, as Halliday & Matthiessen (2004) indicate, the adverbial is typical in expressing modal assessment, and the clausal construction is the marked since additional considerations are always involved, such as the need to expand meaning, to enhance negotiation between the writer and the reader.

Second, phraseologists' pattern analysis greatly improves the accuracy of IPC which are more established or formulaic linguistic resources. They contribute to the study of IPC by revealing systemic patterns at a "greater degree of delicacy than had been recognized hitherto" (Halliday, 2008: 71). The patterns are anchored at an intermediate point between the most generalized systemic options at the grammatical end of the lexicogrammatical continuum and the collocational regularities that are associated with the lexis. However, it

should be pointed out that patterns, such as realizations of IPC, do not exist in isolation as constructions independent of grammar. As Halliday (2008: 72) points out, to understand how these patterns work, we need a general pattern of the grammar. Third, Biber et al. regard IPC as a grammatical stance marker. They touch on the syntactic and semantic features of IPC. More important, Biber et al. introduce the concept of register into the interpretation of IPC. They notice that the linearity of IPC and the ensuing clause makes it possible for the speaker to first foreground her intended perspective, and then to identify the propositional information which is, due to the linear order of the clauses, supposed to be interpreted with respect to the given perspective. It should be stressed, as later-on chapters will show as well, the paradigmatic feature is a necessary ingredient of IPC.

.....

版权说明

本站所提供下载的PDF图书仅提供预览和简介，请支持正版图书。

更多资源请访问:<http://www.tushu007.com>